THE TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT) REGULATIONS 2017 SCREENING MATRIX

1. CASE DE	TATI S						
Case	Land South of Gunthorpe						
Reference	Road, Walpole St Andrew	Brief description	Proposed Solar Farm and Battery				
Appellant	Enso Energy Limited	of the project / development	Storage Facility				
LPA	SHDC						
2. EIA DET	AILS						
	t Schedule 1 development acc f the EIA Regulations?	cording to	No				
If YES, which	description of development ((THEN GO TO Q4)	Click here to enter text.				
Is the project Regulations?	t Schedule 2 development und	der the EIA	Yes				
If YES, under and Column 2	which description of develop ?	ment in Column 1	3a				
	pment within, partly within, c a' as defined by Regulation 2	Yes					
If YES, which	area?	The Wash					
Are the application exceeded/me	cable thresholds/criteria in C et?	olumn 2	Yes				
If yes, which	applicable threshold/criteria	?	0.5				
3. LPA/SO	S SCREENING						
Screening Di	or SoS issued a Screening Opi rection (SD)? (In the case of a Regulation 37 notice been i	No					
If yes, is a co	py of the SO/SD on the file?	N/A					
If yes, is the	SO/SD positive?	N/A					
4. ENVIRO	4. ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT						
	llant supplied an ES for the conatters or conditions) applica	N/A					

WHEN COMPLETING THIS DOCUMENT IN RELATION TO AN ENFORCEMENT APPEAL, THE UNDERSIGNED OFFICER HAS HAD REGARD TO THE PROJECT AS ALLEGED IN THE RELEVANT ENFORCEMENT NOTICE WHEN REFERING TO THE PROJECT / DEVELOPMENT.

Question	(Part 2a) / (Part 2b) – Answer to the question and explanation of reasons (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)		(Part 3a) / (Part 3b) (only if Yes in part 2a) - Is a Significant Effect Likely? (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)		
	Briefly explain answer to Part 2a and, if applicable and/or known, include name of feature and proximity to site (If answer in Part 2a / 2b is 'No', the answer to Part 3a / 3b is 'N/A')		Is a significant effect likely, having regard particularl to the magnitude and spatial extent (including population size affected), nature, intensity and complexity, probability, expected onset, duration, frequency and reversibility of the impact and the possibility to effectively reduce the impact? If the finding of no significant effect is reliant on specific features or measures of the project envisaged to avoid, or prevent what might otherwise have been, significant adverse effects on the environment these should be identified in bold .		
1. NATURAL RESOURCES					
1.1 Will construction, operation or decommissioning of the project involve actions which will cause physical changes in the topography of the area?	Yes	Elevation of electrically sensitive infrastructure and/or construction of a bund as a flood mitigation measure.	No	Land could be re-profiled as part of decommissioning.	
1.2 Will construction or operation of the project use natural resources above or below ground such as land, soil, water, materials/minerals or energy which are non-renewable or in short supply?	No		N/A		
1.3 Are there any areas on/around the location which contain important, high quality or scarce resources which could be affected by the project, e.g. forestry, agriculture, water/coastal, fisheries, minerals?	Yes	Site comprises of Grade 1 agricultural land.	No	Higher grade agricultural land involved but there is the potential for grazing sheep, thereby allowing some agricultural activity to continue. Reversible after decommissioning and arguably more fertile.	

Question	(Part 2a) / (Part 2b) - Answer to the question and explanation of reasons (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)		(Part 3a) / (Part 3b) (<u>only if Yes in part 2a</u>) - Is a Significant Effect Likely? (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)	
2. WASTE				
2.1 Will the project produce solid wastes during construction or operation or decommissioning?	Yes		No	Waste production during construction phase would be limited as most components arrive at site ready-made/pre-assembled. During operation, only negligible waste will be created. During decommissioning, solar panels and the mounting structures can be recycled at the end of their operational life.
3. POLLUTION AND NUISANCES				
3.1 Will the project release pollutants or any hazardous, toxic or noxious substances to air?	No		N/A	
3.2 Will the project cause noise and vibration or release of light, heat, energy or electromagnetic radiation?	Yes	Possible generation of vibration and noise. Energy will clearly be created given the nature of the proposal.	No	Vibration will be limited to the construction period (excavation). Noise will also be generated during this period. However, only low levels of noise will be generated by electrical systems such as transformers and the substation during the operational phase. Solar panels only generate electricity in daylight hours and so there will be negligible noise in the evening, at night and early morning.
3.3 Will the project lead to risks of contamination of land or water from releases of pollutants onto the ground or into surface waters, groundwater, coastal waters or the sea?	Yes	Possible raising of ground levels to elevate electrically sensitive infrastructure and/or construction of a bund as a flood mitigation measure.	No	Any issues may be controlled by condition.
3.4 Are there any areas on or around the location which are already subject to pollution or environmental damage, e.g. where existing legal environmental	No		N/A	

Question	(Part 2a) / (Part 2b) – Answer to the question and explanation of reasons (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)		(Part 3a) / (Part 3b) (only if Yes in part 2a) - a Significant Effect Likely? (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)		
standards are exceeded, which could be affected by the project?					
4. POPULATION AND HUMAN HEAL	тн				
4.1 Will there be any risk of major accidents (including those caused by climate change, in accordance with scientific knowledge) during construction, operation or decommissioning?	No		N/A		
4.2 Will the project present a risk to the population (having regard to population density) and their human health during construction, operation or decommissioning? (for example due to water contamination or air pollution)	No		N/A		
5. WATER RESOURCES					
5.1 Are there any water resources including surface waters, e.g. rivers, lakes/ponds, coastal or underground waters on or around the location which could be affected by the project, particularly in terms of their volume and flood risk?	Yes	IDB watercourse adjoins the site and River Nene approximately 50m away at the closest point. The site is approx. 9km from The Wash coastline.	No	Not likely to have a significant impact due to the nature of the development proposed and limited output/run-off.	
6. BIODIVERSITY (SPECIES AND HABITATS)					
6.1 Are there any protected areas which are designated or classified for their terrestrial, avian and marine ecological value, or any non-designated / non-classified areas which are	Yes	The Wash (SSSI, SPA, SAC, Ramsar site and NNR) is approx. 9km to the north. The Nene Bank Road Verges Local Wildlife Site is in close proximity.	No	It is considered that ecology matters can be addressed by an appropriate ecology survey submitted with any formal planning application. Furthermore, given the size of the site, there is	

Question	(Part 2a) / (Part 2b) – Answer to the question and explanation of reasons (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)		(Part 3a) / (Part 3b) (only if Yes in part 2a) - Is a Significant Effect Likely? (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)		
important or sensitive for reasons of their terrestrial, avian and marine ecological value, located on or around the location and which could be affected by the project? (e.g. wetlands, watercourses or other water-bodies, the coastal zone, mountains, forests or woodlands, undesignated nature reserves or parks. (Where designated indicate level of designation (international, national, regional or local))).				significant opportunity to delivery Biodiversity Net Gain through the development.	
6.2 Could any protected, important or sensitive species of flora or fauna which use areas on or around the site, e.g. for breeding, nesting, foraging, resting, over-wintering, or migration, be affected by the project?	Yes	Potentially but ecology implications can be addressed by an appropriate ecology survey.	No	See above	
7. LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL					
7.1 Are there any areas or features on or around the location which are protected for their landscape and scenic value, and/or any non-designated / non-classified areas or features of high landscape or scenic value on or around the location which could be affected by the project?¹ Where designated indicate level of designation (international, national, regional or local).	No		No		

¹ See question 8.1 for consideration of impacts on heritage designations and receptors, including on views to, within and from designated areas.

Question	and explanation of reasons		a Sigr	a) / (Part 3b) (only if Yes in part 2a) - Is ficant Effect Likely? or Not Known (?) or N/A)	
7.2 Is the project in a location where it is likely to be highly visible to many people? (If so, from where, what direction, and what distance?)	Yes	Visibility will be mostly confined to residents of properties located on the road known as 'The Marsh' and Gunthorpe Road. However, the site will be screened from the majority of these properties due to existing intervening trees. Site is also visible from the Walpole St Peter FP1 public footpath which adjoins the western boundary and transects the site.	No	Landscape mitigation can be incorporated to negate significant effects.	
8. CULTURAL HERITAGE/ARCHAEO	LOGY				
8.1 Are there any areas or features which are protected for their cultural heritage or archaeological value, or any non-designated / classified areas and/or features of cultural heritage or archaeological importance on or around the location which could be affected by the project (including potential impacts on setting, and views to, from and within)? Where designated indicate level of designation (international, national, regional or local).	Yes	Although it is unlikely that there is any below ground archaeology in or around the site, the Grade II Listed County Boundary Post and Footbridge/road bridge/sluices are located on the far side of the River Nene.	No	Effects not expected to be significant given the intervening river and opportunities for landscaping as mitigation.	
9. TRANSPORT AND ACCESS					
9.1 Are there any routes on or around the location which are used by the public for access to recreation or other facilities, which could be affected by the project?	Yes	The Walpole St Peter FP1 public footpath adjoins the western boundary and transects the site.	No	There is the potential for the proposed development to have an impact on views from the PROW. However, the impacts are localised and could be mitigated by planting/screening.	
9.2 Are there any transport routes on or around the location which are	No		N/A		

Question	(Part 2a) / (Part 2b) – Answer to the question and explanation of reasons (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)		(Part 3a) / (Part 3b) (only if Yes in part 2a) - Is a Significant Effect Likely? (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)	
susceptible to congestion or which cause environmental problems, which could be affected by the project?				
10. LAND USE				
10.1 Are there existing land uses or community facilities on or around the location which could be affected by the project? E.g. housing, densely populated areas, industry / commerce, farm/agricultural holdings, forestry, tourism, mining, quarrying, facilities relating to health, education, places of worship, leisure /sports / recreation.	Yes	The site is within the countryside and only a reasonably small number of residential properties lie within 1km of the site.	No	Disturbance during construction and decommissioning phases but Construction Management Plan may be secured via condition. Disturbance during operation phase likely to be minimal. In terms of visual impact, the majority of properties are screened by on-plot planting would minimise views of the proposed development.
10.2 Are there any plans for future land uses on or around the location which could be affected by the project?	No		N/A	
11. LAND STABILITY AND CLIMATE				
11.1 Is the location susceptible to earthquakes, subsidence, landslides, erosion, or extreme /adverse climatic conditions, e.g. temperature inversions, fogs, severe winds, which could cause the project to present environmental problems?	Yes	Site is located in Flood Zones 2 and 3.	No	It is considered that the matter can be dealt with adequately via a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment.
12. CUMULATIVE EFFECTS				
12.1 Could this project together with existing and/or approved development	No	There are no existing or planned developments in the locality that, together with the proposed development, would result in a significant	N/A	

Question	and explanation of reasons		(Part 3a) / (Part 3b) (<u>only if Yes in part 2a</u>) - Is a Significant Effect Likely? (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)	
result in cumulation of impacts together during the construction/operation phase?		adverse cumulative impact during the construction/operation phase when taking into account the nature of the development proposed.		
13. TRANSBOUNDARY EFFECTS				
13.1 Is the project likely to lead to transboundary effects? ²	N/A	Transboundary effects relate to possible effects on other nation states and this is not relevant to this proposal.	N/A	

² The Regulations require consideration of the transboundary nature of the impact. Due to the England's geographical location the vast majority of TCPA cases are unlikely to result in transboundary impacts.

5. CONCLUSIONS - ACCORDING TO EIA REGULATIONS SCHEDULE 3

From the information submitted it is not considered that any impacts that may occur would be as significant as to require an Environmental Impact Assessment to be undertaken. The proposal would not create significant harm to historic or ecological assets and, with mitigation measures, could have limited visual impact on the countryside landscape (no landscape designations present). This development is considered to be fully reversible and could return to agricultural use in the future.

It is considered that the effects of the development can be adequately considered within the context of a planning application without the need for a full EIA to be supplied.

Accordingly, the Local Planning Authority, in line with Regulation 5 of the Regulations, has determined that the development proposed is not Environmental Impact Assessment development and does not require an Environmental Statement to be submitted. No further action is therefore necessary.

6. **SCREENING DECISION** If a SO/SD has been provided do you agree N/A with it? Is it necessary to issue a SD? Yes Is an ES required? Nο 7. **ASSESSMENT (EIA REGS SCHEDULE 2 OUTCOME DEVELOPMENT**) Is likely to have significant effects on the ES required environment Not likely to have significant effects on the ES not required environment More information is required to inform Request further info direction

NAME	Lucy Buttery – Principal Planning Officer
DATE	27 April 2021